

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box: 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,510 .	12/09/2003	Zhenwen Fu	A01483	3733	
21898	7590 03/28/2005	•	EXAMINER		
	ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY			FAISON, VERONICA F	
PATENT DE 100 INDEPE	PARTMENT NDENCE MALL WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELP	HIA, PA 19106-2399		1755		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			in/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/731,510	FU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Veronica F. Faison	1755					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	<u>ecember 2004</u> .						
,)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	· 						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6,9,10 and 13-16</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No	l Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/731,510

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1 and 7 have been amended and claims 11-16 have been added. Hence, claims 1-16 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Loftin et al (US Patent 5,512,623).

Loftin et al teach an aqueous marker ink comprising a surfactant, a pigment, a film-forming polymer and water (abstract and col. 1 lines 26-28). The reference further teaches that the surfactant (amphiphilic material) may be an alkyl phenol ethoxylated surfactant wherein octyl phenol ethoxylated (i.e. Triton X-100) is preferred (col. 1 lines 34-37, col. 2 lines 39-44 and examples). The alkyl phenol ethoxylated surfactant taught by the reference is the same as Applicant's structure XQ_n-R'-Y-R as Applicant teaches on page 3 lines 3-7 of the specification that alkyl phenol ethoxylates are amphiphilic

Application/Control Number: 10/731,510 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

material of the formula XQ_n-R'-Y-R. The composition as taught by Loftin et al appears to anticipate the claimed invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Momose (US Patent 6,749,675).

Momose teaches an ink composition comprising at least a colorant, water, 0.005 to 10 percent by weight of at least one acetylene alcohol and a surface active substance (amphiphilic material) such as formula 21(d) as seen below:

$$R_{14}$$
 O $(CH_2CH_2O)_{k1}SO_3M_1$

wherein k1 is 1 to 30 and M1 is an alkali metal or a base such as ammonium, which is Applicant's claimed structure R-O-Q_nA'M⁺. The reference further teaches that the formula 21 d may be ammonium polyoxyethylene nonyl phenyl ether sulfate (amphiphilic material), which is used in example 1-4 of Table 8 and present in the amount of 1 percent by weight that is within Applicant claimed range (abstract, col. 2 lines 5-54, and col. 6 lines 4-15). The reference teaches the colorant may be a dye or pigment and that the pigment may also be a surface-treated pigment being surface treated with a functional group such as sulfone, carbonyl carboxyl, hydroxyl and amino (col. 10 line 30-col. 11 line 36). The colorant used in example 1-4 is a Cabojet 300, which is a surface-modified pigment (Table 8). The composition as taught by Momose appears to anticipate the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/731,510

Art Unit: 1755

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Momose as applied to claims 1-2 above.

Momose fails to specifically exemplify wherein n is 11 and 30, as claimed by applicant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the specific n values (i.e. 11 and 30) as claimed by applicant as Momose also discloses the use of k1 (n) is a value of 1 to 30 but shows no example incorporating k1 as 11 or 30.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanke et al (US Patent 5,466,281).

Hanke et al teach an ink composition comprising a polar non-aqueous liquid, water and a colorant, which may be a dye or pigment (abstract and col. 3 lines 32-42). The reference further teaches that wetting agent may be present in the ink composition that include surfactants such as sodium lauryl sulfate (amphiphilic material) present in the amount of 0.10-1 percent by weight (col. 6 lines 33-51). Hanke et al fails to specifically exemplify the use of sodium lauryl sulfate (amphiphilic material) as claimed by applicant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the sodium lauryl sulfate (amphiphilic material) as claimed by applicant as Hanke et

Application/Control Number: 10/731,510

Art Unit: 1755

Hanke et al also discloses the use of sodium lauryl sulfate (amphiphilic material) but shows no example incorporating them.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6, 9, 10, 13, and 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The references alone or in combination fail to teach an ink composition comprising both amphiphilic materials R-O-Q_nA⁻M⁺ and XQ_n-R⁻-Y-R.

Conclusion

The remaining references listed on form 892 have been reviewed by the Examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the above rejections.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica F. Faison whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VFF 3-19-05

LORENGO